Exendin-4 induction of cyclin D1 expression in INS-1 β-cells: involvement of cAMP-responsive element
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Abstract

Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and its analog exendin-4 (EX) have been considered as a growth factor implicated in pancreatic islet mass increase and β-cell proliferation. This study aimed to investigate the effect of EX on cyclin D1 expression, a key regulator of the cell cycle, in the pancreatic β-cell line INS-1. We demonstrated that EX significantly increased cyclin D1 mRNA and subsequently its protein levels. Although EX induced phosphorylation of Raf-1 and extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK), both PD98059 and exogenous ERK1 had no effect on the cyclin D1 induction by EX. Instead, the cAMP-elevating agent forskolin induced cyclin D1 expression remarkably and this response was inhibited by pretreatment with H-89, a protein kinase A (PKA) inhibitor. Promoter analyses revealed that the cAMP-responsive element (CRE) site (at position −48; 5′-TAACGTCA-3′) of cyclin D1 gene was required for both basal and EX-induced activation of the cyclin D1 promoter, which was confirmed by site-directed mutagenesis study. For EX to activate the cyclin D1 promoter effectively, CRE-binding protein (CREB) should be phosphorylated and bound to the putative CRE site, according to the results of electrophoretic mobility shift and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays. Lastly, a transfection assay employing constitutively active or dominant-negative CREB expression plasmids clearly demonstrated that CREB was largely involved in both basal and EX-induced cyclin D1 promoter activities. Taken together, EX-induced cyclin D1 expression is largely dependent on the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway, and EX increases the level of phosphorylated CREB and more potently transactivates cyclin D1 gene through binding of the CREB to the putative CRE site, implicating a potential mechanism underlying β-cell proliferation by EX.

Introduction

Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) has been of much interest due to its β-cell-proliferating effect and role as an incretin hormone in synergizing with glucose to enhance insulin release (Ørskov 1992, Egan et al. 2003). Although many anti-diabetic effects of GLP-1 have been evaluated under conditions in vivo and in vitro (Perfetti & Merkel 2000, De León et al. 2003), the short duration of action due to dipeptidyl peptidase IV-mediated degradation has limited its clinical application (Perfetti & Merkel 2000).

Exendin-4 (EX), a GLP-1 receptor agonist, is more stable under conditions in vivo because of its resistance to dipeptidyl peptidase IV-mediated degradation (Perry & Greig 2003). EX has also been known to stimulate β-cell proliferation and islet mass increase under both in vivo and in vitro conditions (Xu et al. 1999, Tourrel et al. 2001, 2002). Due to these beneficial effects, exenatide, a synthetic EX, is now used as an adjunctive therapeutic agent for type 2 diabetes (for more information visit http://www.byetta.com).

Cyclin D1 is a major cell-cycle regulator involved in progressing cells to the proliferative stage, and the over-expression of cyclin D1 shortens the G1 phase, thereby leading to rapid entry into the S phase (Sherr 1994). Cyclin D1 gene expression is largely regulated at the transcriptional level, although posttranscriptional mechanisms are also involved (Yan et al. 1997).

Both GLP-1 and EX bind to GLP-1 receptor coupled with Gs proteins, which stimulates adenylyl cyclase,
leading to an increase in intracellular cAMP levels and an activation of protein kinase A (PKA; Drucker et al. 1987, Leech et al. 1999). cAMP-responsive element (CRE)-binding protein (CREB) is a major PKA substrate and belongs to the ATF/CREB transcription factor family that interacts with CRE site in the cAMP-responsive gene promoter (Montminy 1997). Since the proximal region of both human and rat cyclin D1 gene promoters contain a putative CRE site (5′-TAACGTCA-3′) similar to the consensus CRE site (5′-TGACGTCA-3′), it can be assumed that the effect of EX on cyclin D1 promoter activity is mediated by a conventional cAMP signaling mechanism. However, the involvements of the CRE site and of transcription factors binding to the CRE site in cyclin D1 promoter activity have not been examined in pancreatic β-cells.

This study investigated the induction of cyclin D1 expression in INS-1 β-cells by EX and the involvement of the CRE site and the transcription factor CREB in the EX-activated cyclin D1 gene promoter.

Materials and Methods

Materials

All reagents for cell culture were purchased from Gibco BRL. EX, exendin-(9–39) amide, and GLP-1-(7–36) amide were from Bachem AG (Torrance, CA, USA). Glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP) receptor antagonist GIPR-(6–30) was kindly provided from Dr C M Isales (Institute of Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta, GA, USA). SP600125, forskolin, PD98059, H-89, and anti-rabbit c-Fos and anti-rabbit c-Jun antibodies were from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA, USA). Anti-mouse cyclin D1 and anti-mouse c-Raf antibodies were from BD Transduction Laboratories (Palo Alto, CA, USA). Anti-rabbit extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK), anti-mouse phospho-ERK, anti-rabbit phospho-Raf, anti-rabbit phospho-CREB, anti-rabbit ATF-2, and anti-rabbit extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-mouse CREB and anti-mouse β-tubulin antibodies, and Western Blotting Luminol Reagent, were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

Isolation of pancreatic islet and culture of INS-1 cells

Pancreatic islets were isolated from Sprague–Dawley rats (Daehan Biolink Company, Chungbuk, Korea) using collagenase digestion and Ficoll gradient separation, as described previously (Kim et al. 2003). INS-1 cells (passages 21–30) were cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10 mM HEPES, 5·6 mM d-glucose, 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM l-glutamine, 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin and 100 U/ml streptomycin), and maintained at 37 °C in a humidified air containing 5% CO₂.

Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR)

RT-PCR was performed as described previously (Jeon et al. 2004). The primers were as follows: GLP-1 receptor, forward, 5′-TTTTCTACGGAAGCCACTCC-3′; reverse, 5′-GGATAACGAGACGCAGGAATCCC-3′, cyclin D1, forward, 5′-GAACGTCTTGCAGAACAAGC-3′; reverse, 5′-CTTGGCGAGTCCACTGTG-3′. The rat GAPDH gene was used as an internal control.

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (Kim et al. 2004). The cells were solubilized with RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7·4, 0·1% SDS, 0·1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml aprotinin, and 1 µg/ml leupeptin). Total protein (30 µg) was separated by 10% SDS/PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. The blot was incubated with an appropriate antibody at 4 °C overnight. After extensive washing, the immunocomplexes were detected using Western Blotting Luminol Reagent. Equal loading of sample was confirmed using the band intensity of β-tubulin (52 kDa).

Plasmids and transient transfection assays

pCMV-ERK1 was kindly provided by Dr P E Shaw (School of Biomedical Science, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK). Human cyclin D1 promoter fragments linked to luciferase vector pGL3 basic reporter were kindly provided by Dr O Tetsu and Dr F McCormick (University of California–San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA). pcDNA3-CREB and –KCREB vectors were kindly provided by Dr R H Goodman (Volum Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA). Transient transfection was performed using Lipo-fectamine™ 2000 reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the cells were plated at a density of 4 × 10⁴ cells/well in a six-well plate 3 days before the transfection and then were transfected by mixing plasmid (1 µg) and Lipofectamine (2 µl) in 1 ml OPTI-MEM. Six hours after transfection, culture media were replaced with fresh culture media. pCMV/β-gal (0·1 µg) was cotransfected into cells to check the transfection efficiency.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

Nuclear extracts were isolated as previously described (Kim et al. 2004). Nuclear extracts (5 µg) were incubated
with the [γ-32P]ATP-labeled CRE oligonucleotide (30 000 c.p.m.) in binding buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7·5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 0·5 mM EDTA, 0·5 mM DTT, 1 µg poly(dI-dC), and 5% glycerol) for 20 min at 4 °C. The reaction product was resolved on a 5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel in Tris/borate/EDTA buffer. The gel was dried and subjected to autoradiography. For immune-supershift assay, nuclear extracts were preincubated with specific antibodies (5 µg) against CREB, phospho-CREB, ATF-2, phospho-ATF-2, c-Jun, and c-Fos for 30 min prior to the addition of 32P-labeled probe. For competition assay, the samples were preincubated with a 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled CRE and AP-1 oligonucleotides for 30 min prior to the addition of 32P-labeled probe. The oligonucleotides used were as follows: putative CRE oligonucleotide of rat cyclin D1 (5′-CAACAGTAAAGTCACTGGACTAC-3′), CRE consensus oligonucleotide with mutation (underlined; 5′-AGAGATTGCCTGTGAGAGCTACC-3′), and AP-1 consensus oligonucleotide (5′-CGCTTGAGTCAGCCGAAA-3′).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay
A ChIP assay was performed as previously described (Gerrish et al. 2001) with minor modifications. An aliquot (10 µl) of input and immunoprecipitated DNA was amplified with following temperature profiles: 5 min at 95 °C; 25 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 45 s at 58 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C; and 7 min at 72 °C. The primers used to amplify of the putative CRE site (151 bp fragment) of the rat cyclin D1 promoter were as follows: forward, from –169, 5′-TCACTGCTCCCGAGCCCC-3′; reverse, from –19, 5′-CCTCGAGTCAGCCGAAA-3′. As a negative control, a portion of the cyclin D1 open reading frame was amplified.

Statistical analysis
The relative band densities were quantified using Scion Imaging software (Scion Corporation, Frederick, MD, USA). All data were expressed as means ± S.D. The data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Origin 7·0 software (Microcal Software, Northampton, MA, USA). Statistical comparisons among the groups were done using Bonferroni’s multiple range t-test after the ANOVA. P<0·05 was accepted as being statistically significant.

Results

EX induces cyclin D1 expression in INS-1 cells
EX-induced cyclin D1 protein expression was dose-dependent (0·1–10 nM) with a maximal effect at 10 nM in INS-1 cells (Fig. 1A). However, no further increase in cyclin D1 protein was observed at a concentration of 100 nM. Based on these results, the concentration of EX was set at 10 nM in other experiments. The time course of cyclin D1 induction by EX (10 nM) was investigated.
Cyclin D1 protein was initially induced at 0.5 h, reaching its peak at 6 h, and then decreased at 12 h (Fig. 1B and D). The RT-PCR result showed that cyclin D1 mRNA expression was significantly increased between 1 and 3 h after EX and then returned to basal level by 6 h (Fig. 1C and D).

EX acts through GLP-1 receptor in inducing cyclin D1

We investigated the mRNA expressions of GLP-1 receptor in rat islets and pancreatic β-cells. As shown in Fig. 2A, GLP-1 receptor mRNA expression was significantly high in INS-1 and RINm5F cells compared with the islet cells. To test the possible involvement of GIP receptor in cyclin D1 protein induction by EX, INS-1 cells were pretreated with 10 µM GIP-(6–30), a GIP receptor antagonist, for 1 h. Cyclin D1 protein induction by EX was not significantly changed. However, exendin-(9–39) amide, a GLP-1 receptor antagonist, inhibited EX-induced cyclin D1 expression down to the control level (Fig. 2B).

ERK is not involved in EX-induced cyclin D1 expression

Since cyclin D1 induction by mitogens is generally involved in the activation of a class of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), specifically ERK1/2, the involvement of ERK in EX-induced cyclin D1 expression was investigated. EX induced the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and Raf-1, an upstream activator of ERK1/2 (Fig. 3A), but not the phosphorylation of p38 MAPK and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (data not shown). Meanwhile, MAPKs inhibitors PD98059, SB203580, and SP600125 had no effect on EX-induced cyclin D1 expression (Fig. 3B). Moreover, cells transiently transfected with exogenous ERK1 showed no difference in cyclin D1 protein level compared with the empty vector group (Fig. 3C).

cAMP/PKA signaling is involved in EX induction of cyclin D1 expression

The involvement of cAMP in cyclin D1 expression was evaluated since GLP-1 agonists were reported to activate the cAMP/PKA pathway via the GLP-1 receptor. Forskolin, a cAMP-increasing agent, significantly induced cyclin D1 expression, and the induction by EX or forskolin was completely blocked by the PKA-specific inhibitor H-89 (Fig. 4A). Treatment with dibutyryl cAMP (100 µM), a membrane-permeable cAMP analog, significantly induced cyclin D1 protein expression (data not shown). Next we examined the activation of ERK1/2 by intracellular cAMP level. Compared with EX, forskolin induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation for longer duration (compare p-ERK1/2 in Fig. 4B with that in Fig. 3A). Pretreatment with H-89 did not only reduce the EX-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation to the basal level (Fig. 4C, lane 3), but also decreased its untreated basal level (Fig. 4C, lane 2).
Figure 3 Effect of ERK1/2 on cyclin D1 expression. (A) Following the serum starvation for 24 h, INS-1 cells were exposed to EX (10 nM) for the indicated times. The protein levels of phospho-Raf, phospho-ERK1/2, and their respective total forms were analyzed by Western blot. Each result is representative of three independent experiments. The asterisk shows a non-specific band. (B) Cells were pretreated with PD98059 (PD, 10 μM), SB203580 (SB, 10 μM), or SP600125 (SP, 10 μM) for 30 min, and then incubated with EX (10 nM) for an additional 6 h. Cyclin D1 protein levels were measured by Western blot. Each result is representative of three independent experiments. Data are expressed as the mean (±S.D.) density ratio of cyclin D1 to β-tubulin from three independent experiments. *P<0.05 versus control (CON). (C) Cells were transiently transfected with either the empty vector cassette (pcDNA3; V) or pCMV-ERK1 (ERK1). Following serum starvation for 24 h, the cells were incubated with EX (10 nM) for 6 h. The protein levels of cyclin D1 and ERK1/2 were measured by Western blot. Equal loading of protein was verified by reprobing the same blot for β-tubulin. Data are expressed as the mean (±S.D.) density ratio of cyclin D1 to β-tubulin from three independent experiments. *P<0.05 versus untreated control value.
The CRE site is required for activation of cyclin D1 promoter by EX

The involvement of the putative CRE site located between −48 and −41 in cyclin D1 promoter activation was assessed using promoter fragments (Fig. 5A). As shown in Fig. 5B, EX increased the luciferase activity of pCD1−96 by 1.7-fold compared with the control (P<0.05), whereas pCD1−29 devoid of the putative CRE site was unresponsive to EX treatment. The significance
of the CRE site in EX-induced cyclin D1 promoter activity was confirmed by site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 5B). The mutant CRE construct (pCD1–96 mt) showed a significantly lower luciferase activity in any state compared with pCD1–96.

**Transcription factor CREB interacts with the CRE site for the transcriptional activation of cyclin D1 by EX**

We first determined the time-dependent phosphorylation of CREB using a specific anti-phospho-CREB antibody, which detects the phosphorylated CREB at serine 133. EX induced a rapid and transient increase in CREB phosphorylation between 5 and 15 min after treatment (Fig. 6A). Binding activity of nuclear extract to the CRE site was assessed by EMSAs. Although multiple nuclear proteins (C1–C4) formed complexes with the probe, the band intensity of C2 was increased between 5 and 15 min by EX treatment (Fig. 6B). This induction was well correlated with the kinetics of CREB phosphorylation shown in Fig. 6A. The majority of DNA–protein complexes were sequence-specific because a 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled CRE probe completely abolished the binding activity (Fig. 6B, lane 1).

The proteins present in the DNA–protein complexes were characterized using specific antibodies against transcription factors binding to the CRE motif (Fig. 6C). The addition of anti-CREB or anti-phospho-CREB resulted in complete reduction of the C2 band and moderate reduction of the C3 and C4 bands, and a more slowly migrating band was detected (arrowheads in lanes 2 and 3 of Fig. 6C). In contrast, preincubation with antibodies against ATF-2, phospho-ATF-2, c-Jun, or c-Fos did not affect the mobility and intensity of each DNA–protein complex.

In competition assay (Fig. 6D), excess wild-type unlabeled CRE oligonucleotide entirely competed away the multiple complexes but not excess mutant consensus CRE or consensus AP-1 oligonucleotides, suggesting the sequence specificity of the CRE site of cyclin D1 promoter.

To evaluate the functional interaction between CREB protein and CRE site, the cyclin D1 promoter construct (pCD1–96) harboring the CRE site was cotransfected with plasmids expressing constitutively active or dominant-negative forms of CREB into INS-1 cells. Constitutively active CREB consists of the transactivation domain of the viral VP16 protein linked to the CREB DNA-binding domain. KCREB, a protein which binds to endogenous CREB and inhibits its binding to the CRE site within the gene promoter, was used as a dominant-negative CREB. As shown in Fig. 6E, constitutively active CREB remarkably increased both basal and EX-induced promoter activities of pCD1–96, while KCREB completely abolished these responses.

Finally, to further examine whether the increase in transactivation of cyclin D1 gene observed in transfection assay using constitutively active CREB was due to enhanced binding activity of phospho-CREB to the CRE site of the cyclin D1 promoter in vivo, a ChIP assay was performed. As shown in Fig. 7, EX-induced binding activity of the phospho-CREB to CRE site was significantly increased by about 1.7-fold compared with the control.

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrates the stimulatory effect of EX on cyclin D1 expression in pancreatic β-cells.
Firstly, to verify the expression of GLP-1 receptor on the β-cells, mRNA levels of the GLP-1 receptor were measured. GLP-1 receptor mRNA was highly expressed in the INS-1 cells and RINm5F cells compared with pancreatic islets (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, exendin-(9–39) amide, a GLP-1 receptor antagonist, inhibited EX-induced cyclin D1 expression significantly, while GIP-(6–30), a GIP receptor antagonist, failed to inhibit. This finding is consistent with other studies that binding sites of GLP-1 and GIP were highly ligand-specific in RINm5F cells.
Exendin-induced cyclin D1 expression via CRE activation · M J KIM, J H KANG and others

Figure 7 Enhanced binding activity of phospho-CREB to the putative CRE site of the rat cyclin D1 promoter in vivo. The cells were treated with EX (10 nM) for 10 min and the crosslinking was then induced by formaldehyde. Following fragmentation by sonication, the chromatin was immunoprecipitated with preimmune rabbit serum (negative control) and antibody against phospho-CREB (α-p-CREB). PCR amplification of a 151 bp fragment containing the CRE site was performed using the 'input' fraction (positive control) and immunoprecipitated fractions as a template. Data are expressed as the mean (± s.d.) density ratio of α-p-CREB to the input fraction from three independent experiments. CON, not treated with EX. *P<0.05 versus control.

cells (Gallwitz et al. 1993) and exendin-(9–39) amide inhibited GLP-1-stimulated cAMP production, whereas (Pro") GIP, another GIP antagonist, had no effect (Gault et al. 2003), suggesting that EX induction of cyclin D1 is mediated via the GLP-1 receptor.

The increase in cyclin D1 protein allows its binding to serine/threonine cyclin-dependent kinases (cdk4 or cdk6; Matsushima et al. 1992). The cyclin D1–cdk complexes induce transcriptional activation of S-phase-specific genes leading to the cell proliferation (Sherr 1994). Therefore, the induction of cyclin D1 expression by EX in our study appears to contribute to pancreatic β-cell proliferation and islet mass increase. This possibility is supported by recent studies that the overexpression of either cyclin D1 alone or in combination with cdk4 induced β-cell proliferation in rat and human pancreatic islets (Cozar-Castellano et al. 2004) and that cyclin D1 was essential for normal postnatal pancreatic β-cell growth (Kushner et al. 2005).

Cyclin D1 induction by mitogens is highly dependent on the activation of a class of MAPKs, specifically ERK1/2 (Brunet et al. 1999, Chang & Karin 2001). In our study, however, MAPK inhibitors including PD98059 had no effect on cyclin D1 expression. Consistent with our finding, others demonstrated that inhibition of ERK1/2 activity using PD98059 did not suppress GLP-1-induced DNA synthesis in INS (832/13) cells (Buteau et al. 2001), suggesting no relevance of ERK1/2 to GLP-1/EX-induced pancreatic β-cell proliferation. Moreover, the overexpression of exogenous ERK1 did not affect the cyclin D1 expression. Though not tested, ERK2 may also have no action on cyclin D1 expression since EX induced phosphorylation of both ERK1 and ERK2 in a similar pattern (Fig. 3A).

GLP-1–mediated mitogenic signaling has been cAMP-dependent in pancreatic β-cells (Frödin et al. 1995, List & Habener 2004). In addition, GLP-1 activates immediate early genes such as c-fos, c-Jun, zif268, and jun D, which are involved in cell proliferation, in cAMP/PKA-dependent manner (Susini et al. 1998). In the present study, H-89 significantly blocked EX- induced cyclin D1 expression. Therefore, the signaling pathway for EX- induced cyclin D1 expression appears to be through the cAMP/PKA/CREB pathway rather than Rap/ERK1/2, although there is crosstalk between intracellular signaling systems.

Both EX and GLP-1 induce the increase in intracellular cAMP to modulate diverse cellular events (Egan et al. 2003). Most of cAMP-inducible genes including cyclin D1 gene contain one or a few CRE sites (Lalli & Sassone-Corsi 1994), and the CRE site plays a key role in the regulation of many cellular activities in various cells (D’Amico et al. 2000, Nagata et al. 2001, Morici et al. 2003). Our promoter analyses clearly demonstrated that the putative CRE site was required for the transcriptional regulation of the cyclin D1 in both unstimulated and EX-stimulated states. Moreover, site-directed mutation of the CRE site exhibited a complete loss of EX responsiveness, confirming the involvement and significance of this site in EX-mediated transcriptional activation of the cyclin D1 gene.

CREB has been shown to be responsible for promotion of islet β-cell survival and proliferation (Drucker 2003, Jhala et al. 2003). Mice expressing a dominant-negative CREB protein in islet β-cells exhibited a marked reduction in β-cell mass and a significant increase in β-cell apoptosis (Jhala et al. 2003). Thus, CREB is likely to

Figure 6 CREB interacts with the putative CRE site of cyclin D1 promoter. (A) Following serum starvation for 24 h, INS-1 cells were treated with EX (10 nM) for indicated times. Then phospho-CREB proteins were measured by Western blot. Data are expressed as the mean (± s.d.) density ratio of phospho-CREB to CREB from three independent experiments. *P<0.05 versus untreated control value. (B) Time-dependent binding activity of nuclear extracts was analyzed on EMSA with cyclin D1 putative CRE oligonucleotide (5'-CTCGGACTAC-3'). The arrows indicate the position of major DNA–protein complexes (C1–C4). Com, addition of unlabeled CRE probe. (C) For immune-supershift assay, cells were treated with EX for 5 min. Then, specific antibodies against CREB (lane 2), phospho-CREB (lane 3), ATF-2 (lane 4), phospho-ATF-2 (lane 5), c-Jun (lane 6), and c-Fos (lane 7) were preincubated with nuclear extracts for 30 min before the addition of labeled probes. Arrowheads, supershifted band. Lane 1, preimmune IgG. (D) Competition assay was carried out with a 100-fold molar excess of either mutant consensus CRE (mCRE; lane 2), putative CRE (wCRE; lane 3), or consensus AP-1 (API; lane 4) oligonucleotides. Lane 1, without oligonucleotide. (E) Cells were transiently cotransfected with pCD1–96 (0·5 µg) and 0·5 µg of CREB or KCREB and pCMV/β-gal (0·1 µg). Following serum starvation for 24 h, the cells were incubated with EX for 10 h. Cells were then solubilized and luciferase and β-galactosidase activities were measured. Data are expressed as means ± s.d. and each value is the fold increase over the control (CON) value of pCD1–96/VECTOR from three independent experiments. *P<0.05 versus control of pCD1–96/VECTOR; †P<0.05 versus control of pCD1–96/CREB.
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